## Northern District of California

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT    |
|---------------------------------|
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |

## RAYMOND J. MANZANILLO,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREGORY D. LEWIS, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-05983-JST

## **SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

| Event  | Deadline                       |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings | October 2, 2015                |
| Fact discovery cut-off                         | June 3, 2016                   |
| Expert disclosures                             | June 24, 2016                  |
| Expert rebuttal                                | July 15, 2016                  |
| Expert discovery cut-off                       | July 29, 2016                  |
| Deadline to file dispositive motions           | August 19, 2016                |
| Pretrial conference statement due              | November 1, 2016               |
| Pretrial conference                            | November 10, 2016 at 2:00 p.m. |
| Trial  | December 5, 2016 at 8:30 a.m.  |

## Case 3:12-cv-05983-JST Document 178 Filed 03/04/16 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at <a href="mailto:cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders">cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders</a>.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 4, 2016

JON S. TIGAK United States District Judge